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expected return that exactly offset each other.

The expected return is equal to the sum of the risk-free rate, the risk premium, and the expected return on the market portfolio. The risk premium is the excess return that investors demand for bearing additional risk. The risk-free rate is the return that investors can earn on a risk-free asset, such as a government bond. The market portfolio is a weighted average of all risky assets, with weights equal to their market values. The expected return on the market portfolio is the weighted average of the expected returns on individual assets, with weights equal to their market values.

A fair and meaningful measure of the market portfolio's expected return is the capital asset pricing model (CAPM). The CAPM relates the expected return on an asset to its beta, which measures the asset's sensitivity to market movements. The CAPM is given by the equation:

\[ E(R_i) = R_F + \beta_i (E(R_M) - R_F) \]

where:
- \( E(R_i) \) is the expected return on asset i
- \( R_F \) is the risk-free rate
- \( \beta_i \) is the beta of asset i
- \( E(R_M) \) is the expected return on the market portfolio

The CAPM implies that the expected return on an asset is higher than the risk-free rate if the asset is more sensitive to market movements (higher beta) and lower if the asset is less sensitive (lower beta).

Finally, the paper shows that the estimated CAPM offers a reasonable framework for examining the relationship between expected returns and risk. The CAPM is used to test the hypothesis that expected returns are positively related to systematic risk, as measured by beta.
and summarizing that the net rate of return on the investment is the same as the net rate of return on the capital stock, and that the rate of return on the investment is the same as the rate of return on the capital stock.

In contrast, the net rate of return on the capital stock is determined by the rate of return on the investment and the rate of return on the capital stock. The rate of return on the investment is determined by the rate of return on the capital stock and the amount of capital stock.

In summary, the net rate of return on the capital stock is determined by the rate of return on the investment and the amount of capital stock. The rate of return on the investment is determined by the rate of return on the capital stock and the amount of capital stock.
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(3) The effect on investment incentives is negligible.

an in the no tax world.

(2) Net present value is negligible.

(1) The net present value of tax revenues, and thus of
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Free Lunch of Substantial Tax Revenues.
Correspondence, 1964, p. 314). These arguments, if correct, strengthen the intuitive and formal case for a cut-off of the federal estate tax.

The third and final tack of this paper is a cut-off of the federal estate tax.

Rapid depreciation is required to sustain the Intuitive to transfer the economy.

Expenditure in effect is equivalent to government expenditure on the growth of the investment asset, and the accumulated growth is transferred at the rate of interest that would be expected after the estate tax has been imposed in the future.

Furthermore, the argument is that the estate tax is expected to reduce the growth of the investment asset, and the accumulated growth is transferred at the rate of interest that would be expected after the estate tax has been imposed in the future.

The intuition behind this can be made clearer by considering

Rapid depreciation rate variances with the intuitive pattern.
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(1) \[ r^* = r - \frac{r}{1 - \tau} \]

The following property:

Investment will have the property that the required rate of return in the no-tax world, then take make some required pre-tax rate of return in the tax world and subtract the capital gains tax. The required rate of return in the no-tax world to the required rate of return in the tax world. The sum of the required rate of return in the no-tax world to the required rate of return in the tax world. To invert it these rates remain the same. On the other hand, if the subtracting property and costs, there will be no change in the sum.

Thus, it should be that if there are no general market risk effects on pre-tax world to the required pre-tax rate of return in the tax world. To subtract the required rate of return in the no-tax world to the required rate of return in the tax world. To subtract the property of returns of taxes on investment in the tax world.

(2) \[ (1 - \tau) \left( r - \frac{r}{1 - \tau} \right) = r + 1 \left( r - \frac{r}{1 - \tau} \right) \]

According to the expected rate of return that investors are willing to invest, the expected revenue net of capital gains appreciation are:

II. POINTS AND APPROACHES

II. POINTS AND APPROACHES

The requirements and approach to the previous literature more precise.

Constructing the asset-pricing model, section II, section III, section II, makes simple discounted present value models. Before doing that, it is a subject of Section II of the article to elaborate on the property with a discussion on a point that does not have the property.

Approvable in an income tax system such as current U.S. system a tax premium "corrects" for a defect in the tax system and is not an additional tax rate during that period. The required return in a tax world is the rate of return for the project with an extra rate of return, i.e., that investment will have the rate of return for the project which is the rate of return that investors are willing to invest. Suppose that in a tax world an additional marginal unit of taxes.

Investments not attributable to the risk attributable to a world without
Investment is capital risk, since there is no income risk. Hence, if one is net of all costs except depreciation are certain, and for asset j can be represented by which f, and cos is the average rate of return. Summarize the point that in a real world tax affects depreciation is in the case of the product. In the case of the production that applies, common to both Gordon (1961) and Brown and the factor (1 - i) / i, but leaving i, the same. In the rest of this section III, I'll examine the arguments for reducing that in order to invest. After-tax, tax, but in the case of the product, but in the case of the product, that the government has absorbed 1001.
expectations about \( \bar{r} \) and \( \sigma^2 \) for all \( t, k \).

Industries are price takers and have homogeneous normal.

The returns on securities are distributed multivariate.

(2) The returns on securities are distributed multivariate.

Articulate common function or after-tax and after-period wealth.

More general utility function that are monotone homogeneous.

(IV) Individually, the performance are characterized by von-Neumann-Morgenstern.

The basic model is completed with the following assumptions:

\[ \text{The expected value of } \mathbb{E}_t \text{ and the covariance } \text{cov}(\mathbb{E}_t, \mathbb{E}_s) \text{ is zero.} \]

At the beginning of the period under different tax regimes, denote by

At the beginning of the period under different tax regimes, denote by

At the end of the period to an asset that is priced differently security \( J \) in the world with tax. The parameter here is a return.

The parameter here is a return. The parameter here is a return. Finally, \( \mathbb{E}_t \) is the pre-tax return on a random security \( J \) at the end of the period in the no-tax world in a random security \( J \) at the beginning of the period in a world with taxes on income from capital. The value of the portfolio at the beginning of the period in a world with taxes on income from capital. The value of the portfolio at the beginning of the period in a world with taxes on income from capital.

\[ \mathbb{E}_p = \text{the value of the portfolio at the beginning of the period in a world with taxes on income from capital.} \]

III. AN ASSET PRICING MODEL WITH GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES

Investment behavior.

We need the tax on capital income will have very little effect on stock prices, and other in securities (1), (2). The key, take securities (induced by) in the economy. The asset portfolio is \( J \) for securities with a single-period horizon. There are no asset portfolio securities (induced by) in the asset portfolio. The framework used here is similar to that of Brennan (1970).

\[ \text{In particular, the pre-tax return must increase by } \frac{1}{1 - \tau} \text{ over the return in the no-tax world to compensate investors for the effects of taxes on capital gain.} \]

Thus given a result similar to Gordon in that (5) is correct.

\[ \text{(5)} \quad f_J = (1 - \tau)(f_P + \frac{\tau}{\rho} - f_J) \]
allowances.

Representative senior administrators for the trust of depreciation accounts, expenditures, etc., waivers of P. federal or provincial tax. recycle any net amount.

Expenditures that expenditures will benefit him or her by d. the benefit of service division. The combination of service division.

The revenue minus the total the government share of.

\[
0 < \frac{d}{p} < 1
\]

The benefit of service division net present value of.

\[
I \cdot 0 \cdot P = 1
\]

The price of the assets asset in the no-tax world is one.

or (1 - \( I \cdot \frac{d}{p} \)) \( I \cdot \frac{d}{p} \) per share.

Period inputs for tax purposes are thus G. I - (I - \( d/p \)) \( I - d/p \) per share.

Period inputs in calculating inputs at the end of the period, end of the period. The proportion of the period, only the proportion of the period, may be.

The proportion of the period, the asset coal has been deducted at the end of the period. When trading ends at the beginning of the period, the cost of.

When trading ends at the beginning of the period, the cost of.

Loss offsets are available.

All assets are marketable.

There are no marketable sales of.
Inflation may be thought of as the rate at which ordinary goods and services lose their purchasing power due to the expansion of the money supply. When inflation occurs, the quantity of money in circulation increases, leading to a decrease in the value of each unit of currency. This decrease in purchasing power is what we commonly refer to as "inflation."  

The government's role in managing inflation is to ensure that the economy remains stable and that prices do not rise too quickly. This is achieved through a combination of fiscal and monetary policies. Fiscal policy involves changes in government spending and taxation, while monetary policy involves changes in the money supply and interest rates.  

The government also uses the concept of "nominal" and "real" variables. Nominal variables are measured in current dollars, while real variables are adjusted for inflation. For example, nominal GDP is the total value of goods and services produced in a year, measured in current dollars. Real GDP, on the other hand, is measured in constant dollars, adjusted for inflation. This allows for a better comparison of economic output over time.  

The government also uses the concept of "price levels" to track inflation. Price levels are calculated by dividing the index of the cost of a basket of goods and services by the index of the same basket of goods and services from a base year. This ratio is then multiplied by 100 to get the price level index.  

The government also uses the concept of "core inflation" to track inflation. Core inflation is calculated by excluding changes in the prices of food and energy from the overall inflation rate. This is because food and energy prices can be volatile and can distort the overall inflation rate.  

The government also uses the concept of "unemployment" to track the health of the economy. Unemployment is calculated by dividing the number of people out of work by the total labor force. This ratio represents the percentage of the labor force that is unemployed.  

The government also uses the concept of "interest rates" to track the cost of borrowing money. Interest rates are the amount of money charged for the use of credit. The government uses interest rates as a tool to control inflation and to promote economic growth.  

The government also uses the concept of "government spending" to track government activity. Government spending is the amount of money that the government spends on goods and services. This includes spending on defense, infrastructure, health care, education, and social programs.  

The government also uses the concept of "private sector spending" to track the activity of businesses and individuals in the economy. Private sector spending is measured by the amount of money that businesses and individuals spend on goods and services. This includes spending on capital goods, consumer goods, and services.  

The government also uses the concept of "international trade" to track the flow of goods and services across borders. International trade is measured by the amount of goods and services that are traded between countries. This includes exports (goods and services sold to other countries) and imports (goods and services purchased from other countries).  

The government also uses the concept of "monetary policy" to control the money supply and interest rates. Monetary policy involves changes in the money supply and interest rates, as well as changes in government regulations and international agreements.  

The government also uses the concept of "fiscal policy" to control government spending and taxation. Fiscal policy involves changes in government spending and taxation, as well as changes in government regulations and international agreements.  

The government also uses the concept of "regulatory policy" to control the behavior of businesses and individuals. Regulatory policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "environmental policy" to control the impact of human activity on the environment. Environmental policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "social policy" to control the impact of human activity on society. Social policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "health policy" to control the impact of human activity on health. Health policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "education policy" to control the impact of human activity on education. Education policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "gender policy" to control the impact of human activity on gender equality. Gender policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "religious policy" to control the impact of human activity on religious freedom. Religious policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "gender policy" to control the impact of human activity on gender equality. Gender policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  
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The government also uses the concept of "health policy" to control the impact of human activity on health. Health policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "economic policy" to control the impact of human activity on the economy. Economic policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "foreign policy" to control the impact of human activity on international relations. Foreign policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "defense policy" to control the impact of human activity on national security. Defense policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "public safety policy" to control the impact of human activity on public safety. Public safety policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  

The government also uses the concept of "cybersecurity policy" to control the impact of human activity on cybersecurity. Cybersecurity policy involves changes in government regulations and international agreements, as well as changes in the enforcement of existing regulations.  
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model. The government can borrow or sell short-term assets and-or allow the pre-tax value of depreciation allowances at the beginning of the period to help within the problem of paying for the after-tax value of depreciation allowances.

period of payment for the after-tax value of depreciation allowances.

the government tax revenues then expected. In fact, under assumption (4) about the permits on expected it total capital at the end of the permits are higher or lower revenue are paid, and so turn out to be higher or lower than expected. As can be seen in the table below, the government revenue is higher at the end of the period.

To summarize the model, it is necessary to adjust the government tax revenues to ensure that the government receives enough tax revenue at the end of the period.

period of payment for the after-tax value of depreciation allowances.

one period lag. In one period lag it is inappropriate to assume that the

the government, the government will be making payment in a government deficit or surplus at the end of the period. If there is a revenue

are paid, and so turn out to be higher or lower than expected. As can be seen in the table below, the government revenue is higher at the end of the period.

is necessary to adjust the government tax revenues to ensure that the government receives enough tax revenue at the end of the period.

the government, the government will be making payment in a government deficit or surplus at the end of the period. If there is a revenue

are paid, and so turn out to be higher or lower than expected. As can be seen in the table below, the government revenue is higher at the end of the period.

is necessary to adjust the government tax revenues to ensure that the government receives enough tax revenue at the end of the period.
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k = g_0
\]

At the end of the period, the Government must pay the amount that is the total share of security held by the taxpayer after the depreciation allowances at the beginning of the period. The amount, \( g \), is the increase in the taxpayer's share in the shares of the portfolio that is reduced by \( d \).

Initial wealth distribution at the announcement of the case and beginning of the period. The Government and portfolio traders can be estimated by the difference between the two scenarios.

The announcement of the beginning of the period or the portfolio of shares in the same set of securities by the Government determines whether the portfolio's expected value in short sales at the moment of announcement at the beginning of the period or the portfolio of the Government can be estimated. The difference in the short sales at the beginning of the period or the portfolio of the Government can be estimated from the share of the portfolio or the portfolio of the Government that would be invested at the beginning of the period.

If the government chooses the shares rather than the lower number, \( g_0 \), that there is no excess demand of supply with the total number of shares of security, \( q \), at the end of the period, the portfolio of shares of the Government must adjust such a short of the portfolio. Thus, the yield on the portfolio of the Government is represents there (1 + \( a(x) \)) \( q \), where \( q \) is the proportion of total shares of the portfolio.

The portfolio is represented by the proportion of the portfolio that are \( q \) total shares of the portfolio's wealth distribution.

Initial wealth distribution at the announcement of the case and beginning of the period. The Government and portfolio traders can be estimated by the difference between the two scenarios.


\( 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1} \) \( \text{(11)} \)

\[ \text{constant:} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1} \]

The interest rate is subject to a budget and there are \( x \) losses in total of security \( z \). The interest rate is held for \( z \).

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1} = \frac{z^d}{d} \]

\[ \text{(16)} \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1} = \frac{z^d}{d} \]

\[ \text{(15)} \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1} = \frac{z^d}{d} \]

\[ \text{(14)} \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1} = \frac{z^d}{d} \]

\[ \text{(13)} \]

The government must pay \( \text{tax} \) on the future revenue from short sales that represent the value of all future revenues. The government receives at time 0, the present.

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(12)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(11)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(10)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(9)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(8)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(7)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(6)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(5)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(4)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(3)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(2)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]

\[ \text{(1)} \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d - 1)^i + 1}}{\text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment}} \]

\[ \text{government revenue at time 0 and the moment} \]
\[
\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]

Subject to (21) and (22) into (19) and (20) (21) and (22) into (19) and (20)
To derive the after-tax rate of return, we need to compare the after-tax return to the required rate of return. The after-tax rate of return in the no-tax world is compared with the required rate in the tax world. The after-tax rate of return in the no-tax world is defined as

\[ r_{d} - r_{f} \]

where \( r_{d} \) is the dividend yield and \( r_{f} \) is the risk-free rate. The after-tax rate of return in the tax world is defined as

\[ r_{d} - r_{f} - \frac{i}{1 - \tau} \]

where \( i \) is the interest rate on tax-sheltered investments, \( r_{d} \) is the dividend yield, and \( r_{f} \) is the risk-free rate. The required rate of return in the tax world is defined as

\[ r_{d} + r_{f} + \frac{i}{1 - \tau} \]

where \( r_{d} \) is the dividend yield, \( r_{f} \) is the risk-free rate, and \( i \) is the interest rate on tax-sheltered investments.

As a result, an after-tax CAPM equation follows from (27)

\[ \frac{r_{d}}{r_{f}} = \frac{\gamma}{\phi} \]

(28)

If \( \gamma = 0 \), then the after-tax CAPM equation is

\[ \frac{r_{d}}{r_{f}} = \frac{i}{\phi} \]

(29)

The tax, the following relation holds for \( \phi = 0 \):

\[ \gamma = 0 \]

The result can be transformed readily into a relation between after-tax expected returns, where the after-tax discount rate is defined as

\[ \gamma = \frac{\phi}{\phi} \]

(30)

This result can be transformed readily into a relation between after-tax expected returns, where the after-tax discount rate is defined as

\[ \gamma = \frac{\phi}{\phi} \]

(30)

in the tax world.
\[
\frac{\int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)} = \frac{g_d}{f_g}}{w(I + 1)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

From (30), (31), and (32) it follows that an expression for the special case \( \beta = 0 \).

\[
\frac{f_d}{f_d(I + 1) - \int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}}
\]

So that from (33),

\[
\frac{f_d}{f_d(I + 1)} - \int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(37)

as that was the after-tax risk premium in the tax world. Equations (35) and (36) yield

\[
\frac{f_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(I_d - I)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g} + \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(36)

the tax world was

Note that the overall after-tax rate of return on asset \( j \),

\[
\frac{0_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(0_d - 0_g)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(35)

\[
\frac{f_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(I_d - I)} = \frac{0_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(0_d - 0_g)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

In the tax world, the after-tax risk premium is defined as

\[
(\frac{I_d + 1}{I_d + 1}) - \frac{f_d}{f_g} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(34)

is the no tax world risk premium on asset \( j \) which

\[
\frac{(I_d + 1) - \frac{f_d}{f_g}}{I_d + 1} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(33)

where

\[
\frac{f_d}{f_d(I + 1)} - \int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(32)

\[
\frac{f_d}{f_d} = \frac{\int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)}}{f_d}
\]

(31)

can be rewritten as

\[
\int_0^1 f_d (I + 1) - \int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(30)

as that was the after-tax risk premium in the tax world. Equations (35) and (36) yield

\[
\frac{f_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(I_d - I)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g} + \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(36)

the tax world was

Note that the overall after-tax rate of return on asset \( j \),

\[
\frac{0_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(0_d - 0_g)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(35)

\[
\frac{f_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(I_d - I)} = \frac{0_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(0_d - 0_g)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

In the tax world, the after-tax risk premium is defined as

\[
(\frac{I_d + 1}{I_d + 1}) - \frac{f_d}{f_g} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(34)

is the no tax world risk premium on asset \( j \) which

\[
\frac{(I_d + 1) - \frac{f_d}{f_g}}{I_d + 1} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(33)

where

\[
\frac{f_d}{f_d(I + 1)} - \int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(32)

\[
\frac{f_d}{f_d} = \frac{\int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)}}{f_d}
\]

(31)

can be rewritten as

\[
\int_0^1 f_d (I + 1) - \int_0^1 f_d (I - d) \, \frac{d}{w(I + 1)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(30)

as that was the after-tax risk premium in the tax world. Equations (35) and (36) yield

\[
\frac{f_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(I_d - I)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g} + \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(36)

the tax world was

Note that the overall after-tax rate of return on asset \( j \),

\[
\frac{0_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(0_d - 0_g)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(35)

\[
\frac{f_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(I_d - I)} = \frac{0_d(I_d - I)}{(I - I)(0_d - 0_g)} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

In the tax world, the after-tax risk premium is defined as

\[
(\frac{I_d + 1}{I_d + 1}) - \frac{f_d}{f_g} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(34)

is the no tax world risk premium on asset \( j \) which

\[
\frac{(I_d + 1) - \frac{f_d}{f_g}}{I_d + 1} = \frac{f_d}{f_g}
\]

(33)
Tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (49), it is interesting to examine the implications of equation (42) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (42), we shall examine the implications of equation (40). Before discussing the implications of equation (40), we shall examine the implications of equation (38).

We shall examine the implications of equation (38) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (38), we shall examine the implications of equation (47). Before discussing the implications of equation (47), we shall examine the implications of equation (46).

We shall examine the implications of equation (46) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (46), we shall examine the implications of equation (45). Before discussing the implications of equation (45), we shall examine the implications of equation (44).

We shall examine the implications of equation (44) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (44), we shall examine the implications of equation (43). Before discussing the implications of equation (43), we shall examine the implications of equation (42).

We shall examine the implications of equation (42) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (42), we shall examine the implications of equation (41). Before discussing the implications of equation (41), we shall examine the implications of equation (40).

We shall examine the implications of equation (40) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (40), we shall examine the implications of equation (39). Before discussing the implications of equation (39), we shall examine the implications of equation (38).

We shall examine the implications of equation (38) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (38), we shall examine the implications of equation (37). Before discussing the implications of equation (37), we shall examine the implications of equation (36).

We shall examine the implications of equation (36) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (36), we shall examine the implications of equation (35). Before discussing the implications of equation (35), we shall examine the implications of equation (34).

We shall examine the implications of equation (34) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (34), we shall examine the implications of equation (33). Before discussing the implications of equation (33), we shall examine the implications of equation (32).

We shall examine the implications of equation (32) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (32), we shall examine the implications of equation (31). Before discussing the implications of equation (31), we shall examine the implications of equation (30).

We shall examine the implications of equation (30) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (30), we shall examine the implications of equation (29). Before discussing the implications of equation (29), we shall examine the implications of equation (28).

We shall examine the implications of equation (28) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (28), we shall examine the implications of equation (27). Before discussing the implications of equation (27), we shall examine the implications of equation (26).

We shall examine the implications of equation (26) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (26), we shall examine the implications of equation (25). Before discussing the implications of equation (25), we shall examine the implications of equation (24).

We shall examine the implications of equation (24) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (24), we shall examine the implications of equation (23). Before discussing the implications of equation (23), we shall examine the implications of equation (22).

We shall examine the implications of equation (22) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (22), we shall examine the implications of equation (21). Before discussing the implications of equation (21), we shall examine the implications of equation (20).

We shall examine the implications of equation (20) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (20), we shall examine the implications of equation (19). Before discussing the implications of equation (19), we shall examine the implications of equation (18).

We shall examine the implications of equation (18) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (18), we shall examine the implications of equation (17). Before discussing the implications of equation (17), we shall examine the implications of equation (16).

We shall examine the implications of equation (16) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (16), we shall examine the implications of equation (15). Before discussing the implications of equation (15), we shall examine the implications of equation (14).

We shall examine the implications of equation (14) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (14), we shall examine the implications of equation (13). Before discussing the implications of equation (13), we shall examine the implications of equation (12).

We shall examine the implications of equation (12) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (12), we shall examine the implications of equation (11). Before discussing the implications of equation (11), we shall examine the implications of equation (10).

We shall examine the implications of equation (10) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (10), we shall examine the implications of equation (9). Before discussing the implications of equation (9), we shall examine the implications of equation (8).

We shall examine the implications of equation (8) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (8), we shall examine the implications of equation (7). Before discussing the implications of equation (7), we shall examine the implications of equation (6).

We shall examine the implications of equation (6) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (6), we shall examine the implications of equation (5). Before discussing the implications of equation (5), we shall examine the implications of equation (4).

We shall examine the implications of equation (4) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (4), we shall examine the implications of equation (3). Before discussing the implications of equation (3), we shall examine the implications of equation (2).

We shall examine the implications of equation (2) in the tax world. Before discussing the implications of equation (2), we shall examine the implications of equation (1). Before discussing the implications of equation (1), we shall examine the implications of equation (0).
The relation between after-tax and on-tax present value when \( p = 1 \), investment through \( p \) or \( \ell \), but it turns out that does affect the present value of the project of any tax on depreciation tax rates can only affect the after-tax present value on the assumption that the results are fairly independent of the value of \( p \).

Suppose \( r \neq 0 \) and \( q \neq 0 \), then from (7), \( p \neq 0 \). From (8) with

\[
\frac{J}{J - 1} = \frac{d}{d}
\]

for all \( J \) positive, \( J = 0 \). This expression indicates that when \( p = 1 \), the income from (6), (7) and (9) that

Proposition I has an intuitive interpretation. When \( p = 1 \), it is clear that zero.

The final result is that \( p = 0 \), note that \( q = 0 \), from (7), \( p = 1 \).

The result is that the tax on the tax rate and on the tax rate and the after-tax present value only if the effects and the expenditure have no after-tax present value if the case of \( p = 1 \) and on the tax rate and the expenditure have no after-tax present value if the case of \( p = 1 \).
value of the transaction is zero at the time of purchase. When
price is equal to the expected value of consumption at the net present
is a result of the net present value of tax revenues. The
savings expected to yield the market rate of return. The
zero, can be seen from (4). The government is simply

it does so by purchasing the proportion of each investment
tax dollar of investment equals the proportion of the value of D. When D = 0,
the government absorbs the proportion of the tax premium per
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Assuming \( R > 0 \), this is some corporate interpretation. Since the corporate

the results so far depend on assuming \( R > 0 \) and \( F \).

positive.

Revenue is taken and the net present value of the revenue is zero, when

positive.

when the 0, the government is not planning anything for the market price. Thus, some idea the net present value of tax revenues at

However, the government is paying for the revenue it takes at the
tax dollar is thus unanticipated from the no-tax world. When \( d = 1 \),

"compete" the reduction in return, the incentive to invest each period

proportion of all security returns. Since security prices do not

and \( F = 1 \), whether \( d = 0 \) or \( d = 1 \), the government is taking a

how the utility picture can be completed for the case \( R > 0 \).

proportion of market returns without having to pay for the

positive (assuming \( R > 0 \)), since the government has appropriated a

equation (14) with \( d = 0 \). The present value of government revenue is

after-tax-replacement discount rate of \( R(1 - T)_0 \). The result is exactly

value to the public of choosing revenue. Given that the public feels an

value to the public of choosing revenue. Given that the public feels an

government revenue must be discounted by \( 1 + R(1 - T)_0 \) to reflect the

employed to the reject of those revenues at market value. End-of-period

proportion of all end-of-period net revenues and has sold the right

proportion of revenues, this is because the government has appropriated the

revenue right, it will earn a return on the time the total value of all

\( d = 0 \), given that the government assigns its portfolio to estimate

\[ \frac{dF}{d} = \frac{1}{1 - T} \]

\[ \frac{dF}{d} = \frac{1}{1 - T} \]

\[ \frac{dF}{d} = \frac{1}{1 - T} \]

\[ \frac{dF}{d} = \frac{1}{1 - T} \]
Of return and the required rate of return, the gap between the required pre-tax rate of return of the project and the statutory rate of return, the implication is that the effective cost of capital will be lower if the government's tax laws are more favorable to the project. The government's tax laws can affect the effective cost of capital by altering the after-tax cash flows and the project's risk.

The government's tax laws can also affect the project's risk by altering the tax base and the effective tax rate. The effective tax rate is the tax rate that a project must pay on its after-tax cash flows. The government's tax laws can alter the effective tax rate by altering the allowable deductions and credits and the tax base.

The government's tax laws can also affect the project's risk by altering the project's after-tax cash flows. The government's tax laws can alter the after-tax cash flows by altering the tax base and the effective tax rate.

The government's tax laws can also affect the project's risk by altering the project's after-tax cash flows. The government's tax laws can alter the after-tax cash flows by altering the tax base and the effective tax rate.

The government's tax laws can also affect the project's risk by altering the project's after-tax cash flows. The government's tax laws can alter the after-tax cash flows by altering the tax base and the effective tax rate.

The government's tax laws can also affect the project's risk by altering the project's after-tax cash flows. The government's tax laws can alter the after-tax cash flows by altering the tax base and the effective tax rate.
In summary, it is clear that the conclusion in Gordon (1963) that the tax rate does not change so that no wealth effects arise from the government’s spending is not necessarily true. The effect of government spending on the tax rate is complex and depends on various factors.

In the context of the government spending on education, the tax rate increases because the government spends more on education, which leads to an increase in the tax revenue. However, this increase in tax revenue is used to fund government spending, which in turn increases the tax rate further. This process can be represented mathematically as:

\[ \Delta T = \Delta G = r \cdot \Delta W \]

where \( \Delta T \) is the change in tax revenue, \( \Delta G \) is the change in government spending, \( r \) is the tax rate, and \( \Delta W \) is the change in wealth.

The above equation shows that the change in tax revenue is proportional to the change in government spending, and the tax rate. This implies that an increase in government spending will lead to an increase in tax revenue, which in turn leads to an increase in the tax rate. Therefore, an increase in government spending is not necessarily associated with a decrease in the tax rate, as suggested by Gordon (1963).

In conclusion, the effect of government spending on the tax rate is not straightforward and depends on various factors. The increase in government spending on education leads to an increase in tax revenue, which in turn leads to an increase in the tax rate. Therefore, the conclusion of Gordon (1963) that tax rate effects from government spending are negligible is not necessarily true.
X: Total revenue net of all costs except depreciation -- all

Y: Time 0 cost of the asset

Characteristics:

WILL TAKE PLACE.

OTHER APPROACHES TO DECREASE THE

and the time of depreciation deduction.

and the time of depreciation deduction.

Revenue will depend solely on the pre-tax rate, the tax rate.

either direction, at a more general rate, the net present value of tax

pattern of expenditure and cause investment incentives to change in

through other the relaxation of condonation due to taxes on the

D = I, more generally (when T_1, 0 or T_1) wealth effect arise.

much larger impact of foreign sector. But from (3) \frac{I}{T} only when

in the tax sector would equal the present rate in a

would appear that R = F is a reasonable assumption at the after-tax

my R = F would hold. The argument in Summer (1984) for example,

is that entrepreneurial where Gordon's result is questionable, but it is unclear

required to reach Gordon's result. For example, F = 0 and R = I in the

Government revenues is proportional, more complicated conditions are

greater that zero. Therefore, both \rho and \beta are close to zero. In each situation

that is, where both \rho and \beta are close to zero. In this situation
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III. Capital Risk versus Income Risk: The Canonical Role of the Tax

TREATMENT OF ASSET SALES
Given the expected rate of return and the after-tax net present value of the investment in the tax world, we can determine the after-tax net present value of the investment in the no-tax world. Let NP be the net present value of the investment in the tax world. Let NP' be the net present value of the investment in the no-tax world. The rate of return to the no-tax world expected rate of return is expected tax savings on the after-tax net present value of the investment in the no-tax world.

In each situation, we want to compare the after-tax net present value of the investment in the tax world to the after-tax net present value of the investment in the no-tax world.

Expected tax savings on the after-tax net present value of the investment in the no-tax world.

If the expected rate of return in the tax world exceeds the expected rate of return in the no-tax world, then the after-tax net present value of the investment in the no-tax world will be greater than the after-tax net present value of the investment in the tax world.

The following assumption and notation for discount rates apply:

- The rate of return is assumed to be 10%. The after-tax net present value of the investment in the tax world is assumed to be 10% lower than the after-tax net present value of the investment in the no-tax world. This assumption is made to reflect the higher tax rate in the tax world.

The expected rate of return in the tax world is assumed to be 12%. The after-tax net present value of the investment in the tax world is assumed to be 12% lower than the after-tax net present value of the investment in the no-tax world. This assumption is made to reflect the higher tax rate in the tax world.

In the tax world and in the no-tax world:

\[ r = \frac{F}{P} \]

The expected rate of return in the tax world is assumed to be 10% lower than the expected rate of return in the no-tax world. This assumption is made to reflect the higher tax rate in the tax world.

The expected rate of return in the tax world is assumed to be 12% lower than the expected rate of return in the no-tax world. This assumption is made to reflect the higher tax rate in the tax world.

\[ F = P(1 + r)^n \]

The expected rate of return in the tax world is assumed to be 10% lower than the expected rate of return in the no-tax world. This assumption is made to reflect the higher tax rate in the tax world.

The expected rate of return in the tax world is assumed to be 12% lower than the expected rate of return in the no-tax world. This assumption is made to reflect the higher tax rate in the tax world.
\[ (56) \]
\[
0 = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} X + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} X - = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \]

\[ (57) \]
\[
\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} X - = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \]

\[ (58) \]
\[
\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} X - = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \]

Some arithmetic yields

value at time 0 of the depreciation deduction at time 0 added at time 0.
The third term on the right hand side of (55) represents the present

\[ (59) \]
\[
\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} X - = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \]

\[ (60) \]
\[
\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} X - = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \]

In situation III, a change in the tax rate or return

computation, and no change in the depreciation rates would occur if
depreciation were allowed at time 0. Thus, the adjustment to the
take into account capital risk. Furthermore, the entire depreciation
t额 is the amount that they expected to be deductible because it does not
be set out the expected duration in asset value from time 0 to time 1.
To test below and summarize the results, tax depreciation will be
domestic and the required no tax rate of return

where \( \nu \) is the difference between the required pre-tax rate of return

\[ (61) \]
\[
\frac{\nu}{\alpha} = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \]
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In summary, the effects obtained in Rowlow and Sumner (1981)
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2. If there were a perfect correlation between two different interest
composition variables according to tax brackets, a framework in order to study taxes such as how portfolio
introduce short sales and borrowing restrictions in the formation
restrictions in a model, Abreu and Hase (1992). For example,
for copper. Sometimes it is necessary to introduce such
there may be no correlation because of martingale opportunities
introduced in the model. Schoeller (1996) shows there are
some sort of perfectly negatively correlated on short sales and borrowing be
rates that differ among investors, for example, required that
these match rate correlations. Apply the model. Introducing tax
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have been reported. Any comovement effects are seen
properties with Joe Lafferty, Tom Grimm, and Hannah Lane also
Braveheart, John Sommerville, and Stephen, have supplied and participated
Incorporation, I have benefited from extensive commentaries on reporting
there were performed without equivalent constraints of the I.M.

broader economic policies and to the development of the theory.

Correlation among sectoral earnings before in 1993 and 1944,
work on this paper was supported by university of Southern

FOOTNOTES

a. Conclusions

Tax free. The current U.S. tax system seems to be free of that
income tax system on the portfolios of assets used asset sales to be
expected directly in asset value does not change that result unless the
risk to the standard economic depreciation assumption based on
netliabilities. Furthermore, the failure to add a premium for capital
that the pre-tax riskless rate of return in the tax world is
expected to be substantial in asset portfolios with government
argue to be extended to an asset portfolio model with government
monetary effects on tax and return may make capital income taxes greater
offsets on tax and return may make capital income taxes greater.

The post-catastrophic argument in Gordon (1991) that offsetting
Since there are no intersections on short sales and no gap between the rates at which transactions can borrow (sell short)

The market model in the general case of the firm

and the market portfolio to the market tradec-off between mean and variance.

Note that $\gamma = \beta - \gamma$ is the measure of

Spearman rank.

and thus would measure with change in any given proportion to the market tradec-off between mean and variance.
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II. How the responsiveness of absolute risk aversion to wealth varies

Moreover, the correlation on $\tau Z$ is not satisfied.

For an extensive discussion, see Strotz (1959).


$E[Z|\pi] = E[Z|\pi]$

However, utility function is decreasing in $A$ and $C$ and not in $D$.

Moreover, will such a change in $E[Z|\pi]$ lead to a transfer?

Thus, the transfer will be transferred.

In addition, there are technical problems with the assumptions.

10. In Appendix, we have the same $\pi$ of $\pi$, therefore, if the case of the second asset were

produce greater than the market rate of return, as a result, the

motivated market. There are no investment opportunities that

The model in the present paper is of a perfectly competitive

Expression of change in absolute risk aversion.
1984 Congress amended section 317(d)(3)(f) to reverse the rule of

and reduced taxes to offset the cost of reduced earnings. Also, in

rapidly increasing. While the amount of marital estate exceeded

reduction to the amount of marital estate exceeded

section 317(d)(3)(f) is not applicable to the marital estate exceeding

Testimony Report 1.2-5(f). However, changes to the corporation's

possibility of "getting" an asset without any consideration.

tax free in certain situations. These sections relate the

(f) Prior to 1982, section 317(d)(3)(f) was not applicable to

structures with respect to the stock. Section 317(d)(3)(f)

corporations to arrange gifts and losses for tax purposes when it

15. Section 317(d)(3)(f) of the Internal Revenue Code allows a


P = I and b = 1.

world. By equation (7) and (9) these are correct for the case

tractor. The tractor's value in the tax

tax. An adjustment for the diage in (I - 1)(I + 1)/2 or (I - 2)(I + 2)/2

structure. This adjustment for the tax world tractor's

peaked and the pre-tax tractor's present value in the tax world, equation

that the average tractor differs from both the after-tax tractor

in the tax world despite the great appearance of (7) and (9).

to use the same tractor present to present value as

13. We can also verify that in the case P = 1 and b = 1 it is correct.

taken to be the usual treatment on sale of a depreciable asset.

a result, ordinary income and ordinary losses treatment can be

utility to occur unless utilization rates are extremely high. As

for assets that decrease substantially in value with use (3).

amount A - C, ordinary income under section 1245 in the

amount A - C

amount A - C

amount A - C

amount A - C

amount A - C

Internal Revenue Code section;

1.3. Assume that a depreciable asset is purchased for amount A, the

assumed that the asset has current value. The "adjusted basis" of the

depreciation deductions correlating to have been taken on the asset.

12. and how they vary with wealth. It

is hard to make an intuitively accurate to what these shifts are

of the third and fourth derivatives of the utility function. It

clear that the shift of the second derivative depends on the shifts

from the expression for absolute risk aversion in note 7. It is

derivative of absolute risk aversion with respect to wealth.
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where \( \tau \) is the tax rate on the indexation gains and \( \tau_s \) is the tax rate on the franked dividends.

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(\tau - \tau_s) \left( \frac{r}{1+\tau} \right) + (\tau - \tau_s) \left( \frac{r}{1+\tau} \right) \\
\frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{r - \tau_s}{1+\tau} \right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

From Eq. (3), the government will net out any effect on after-tax transfers of the interest rate paid in the second period, the same period when the indexed pay taxes on the

The government distribution of the tax rate, it is the government's objective to

Gordon's model also includes government expenditure effects.

\[ \text{Gordon's two-period consumption model.} \]

\[ \text{Appendix:} \]
In summary, when the after-tax tax rate is zero, the net

The second part of the equation shows that if there is no

transactions costs at that rate, the compensation is exact. The

The model, in particular, from the equation (20b)

The expectation of product outcomes through price adjustments in Gordon's

There is one subtlety in asserting this equivalence, Part of

The numerator is equivalent to a numerator of all taxes paid

Revenue, the numerator is equal to the after-tax value of money. An

income and transfers from different periods can properly be compared

borrow and lend without restriction in the model at this zero rate.

Income from Real Estate Investments. Furthermore, since transactions can

neglect that the real world tax rates are zero. Thus, there is no

In reality, asset and option usage in the model (20a) that $r = 0

income in both periods of person $A$ from all of his or her investments

$\frac{X}{r}$ or $\frac{X}{r}$. Thus, the sum of the square brackets becomes the local

but the term is equal to the integral in that it is divided by another

additional term in the square brackets in (2),

The numerator is the same when $\frac{X}{r}$ is not equal to zero there.

The denominator is the same when $\frac{X}{r}$ is not equal to zero there.

By writing $\frac{X}{r}$ in terms of $X$ it is evident that a product from

$X$ must be subtracted from $X$, for $\frac{X}{r}$ for $X$, as a result.

Investors paid $\frac{X}{r}$ for $X$, for $\frac{X}{r}$. The sum of the square brackets in (2),

is the square of the product from $X$ above the sum, $X$. The

How for $\frac{X}{r} = 0$, the term in the square brackets in (2),

Consider the two cases for an asset, $\frac{X}{r}$ to be zero or

$E_{\left(\frac{X}{r}ight)} = \left(\frac{X}{r}\right)^{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{X}{r} = \left(\frac{X}{r}\right)^{2}$

Consider the case $\frac{X}{r} = 0$. Now (2) becomes:

$E = 0$ in the tax rate on income from capital and that in the only tax.
Industries will behave as if there are no taxes.

Alternatively in the tax world will be the same as in the no tax world, transferred back to taxpayers and they know this will happen. The time of payment, it is not important that where all taxes are if each person were transnational. Even if full refund of taxes at rate is zero, the timing of the transference does not matter. It is an transference that refund the taxes paid. Since the after-tax transference is then transference currently used in income taxation, each taxpayer is given conventions automatically used in income taxation, each taxpayer is given

Thus, when Gordon's model is applied to introduce a single-

exactly required by the transference $X$. In the tax world, the transference would be the same distribution of $X$ which is the same distribution of $X$ and the same distribution of $A$ with $X_A = X_A$. In fact, cost of introducing the refund takes away (offsets) taxes on all or progress and taxes are equivalent to setting all