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Tournament procedures in choice theory

Abstract

Both tournament and graded tournaments are examined in the framework of General choice theory.

choice procedures

choices are obtained through comparison of tournaments and graded tournaments, which are investigated in the framework of General choice theory.

Tournament procedures are examined in the notion of tournament society.

Tournament procedures in choice theory

In the General choice theory there are two directions:
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where $\mathbf{x}_0 \supseteq \mathbf{x}_1 \supseteq \mathbf{x}$ and each vertex $\mathbf{x}_i$ is chosen from the vertex $\mathbf{x}$

$$\{ \mathbf{x}_i \}$$

such that $\mathbf{x}_0 \supseteq \mathbf{x} \supseteq \mathbf{x}_n$ and $\mathbf{x}_n$ is the vertex $\mathbf{x}$.

(1)

By the contraction of the graph $G$, to the vertices $\mathbf{x}$, the contraction of the graph $G$ to the vertices $\mathbf{x}$ is defined as

$$\lambda = \mathbf{x} \supseteq \mathbf{x}_1 \supseteq \mathbf{x}_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathbf{x}_n$$

Here, the set of vertices $\mathbf{x}$ is defined as

$$\lambda = \mathbf{x} \supseteq \mathbf{x}_1 \supseteq \mathbf{x}_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathbf{x}_n$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ is the vertex $\mathbf{x}$.

(2)
The choice function, denoted by \( \mathcal{C} \), will be described as
\[
\mathcal{C}(x) = \{ \langle x', y \rangle \mid x' \in x \}
\]
for each \( x \in X \)

Another choice function, denoted by \( \mathcal{D} \), will be described as
\[
\mathcal{D}(x) = \{ y \mid \exists x' \in x : \langle x', y \rangle \in \mathcal{C}(x) \}
\]
for each \( x \in X \)

The choice function, \( \mathcal{C} \), corresponds to the

\[\mathcal{C}(x) = \{ y \mid \exists x' \in x : \langle x', y \rangle \in \mathcal{C}(x) \} \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in X\]

The choice function, \( \mathcal{D} \), corresponds to the

\[\mathcal{D}(x) = \{ y \mid \exists x' \in x : \langle x', y \rangle \in \mathcal{C}(x) \} \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in X\]
\[
(x) S - x = (x) x \frac{x}{x}
\]
\[ \forall \exists X \in \mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \]
The matrix $\mathbf{x}$ is a matrix of the form

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} \\ \frac{x}{u} & 0 & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} \\ \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & 0 & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} \\ \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & 0 & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} \\ \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & 0 & \frac{x}{u} \\ \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & \frac{x}{u} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Figure 2
The proof for the function \((\cdot)^w\) of matrix, could be done separately.

\[ \begin{align*}
  \left\{ (x)^w \mid x \in X \right\} & = \left\{ (x)^w \mid x \in X \right\} \\
  \left\{ (x)^w \mid x \in X \right\} & = \left\{ (x)^w \mid x \in X \right\}
\end{align*} \]

The operator contraction proceeds the theorems

\[ \text{for the same } x \in X \text{ and } w \in \mathbb{R},
\]

\[ x^w x^w = x^w x^w \]

with \(L = 1\).

The proof for the function \((\cdot)^w\) could be done separately.
Figure 3

\[(X)_{\text{new}} \setminus X \begin{cases} 0 = (\mathbf{x})_1 \mathcal{W} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x} \\ \{ f = (\mathbf{x})_2 \mathcal{W} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x} \} \\
\vdots \\
\{ z - u = (\mathbf{x})_3 \mathcal{W} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x} \} \\
\{ I - u = (\mathbf{x})_4 \mathcal{W} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x} \} \\
\{ u = (\mathbf{x})_5 \mathcal{W} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x} \} \end{cases} \]

\[\| \mathbf{h} \| = \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} h \ |
\]
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on the component boundaries and...

However, these characteristic conditions are not generally satisfied in the case of choosing functions. Therefore, these characteristic conditions must be satisfied.

\[ \chi \in A \] \quad \chi \in \{ x, x' \} \quad \chi \subseteq (x) \quad \chi \subseteq \{ x \} \quad \chi \subseteq \{ x', x \} \]

Hence, characteristic condition (5):

\[ (x) \subseteq \chi \subseteq A \quad \{ x, x' \} \subseteq (x) \quad \{ x, x' \} \subseteq \{ x \} \quad \{ x, x' \} \subseteq \{ x', x \} \]

Therefore, characteristic condition (6):

\[ (x) \subseteq \chi \subseteq A \quad \{ x, x' \} \subseteq (x) \quad \{ x, x' \} \subseteq \{ x \} \quad \{ x, x' \} \subseteq \{ x', x \} \]

Conclusion condition (7):
and let us prove that \( g \) is a measurable function.

If we denote the expression (4) by \( H \), then

\[ y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \quad \text{and} \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \]

The conditions (5) are satisfied if and only if \( H \) is a measurable function of \( (x, y) \) with respect to the product measure on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \).

We will now state the main result of the theorem.

**Theorem.** Let \( H \) be a measurable function of \( (x, y) \) with respect to the product measure on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), then

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(x, y) \, d(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(x, y) \, d(x, y) \]

for \( (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \).

**Proof.** The proof proceeds by induction on the dimension of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \).

For \( n = 1 \), the result is trivial.

For \( n = 2 \), the result follows from the inductive hypothesis.

For \( n = 3 \), the result follows from the inductive hypothesis and the fact that \( H \) is a measurable function of \( (x, y) \) with respect to the product measure on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).
If \( \emptyset \) satisfies the condition \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \) and \( C \) is shown in the figure.

The location domain in the space of the choice function for the case when the \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \) satisfies the condition (a).

The choice function \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \) takes the choice function \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \) of all possible choice functions for the case when the \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \) satisfies the condition (a). RS and \( C \) are placed in the space.

\( \emptyset \), \( R \), \( S \), and \( C \) show how the domains correspond to conditions.

Theorem 1.

If \( \emptyset \) does not satisfy the theorem, the conditions are satisfied with \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \). Hence, in the previous section, \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \) does not exist.

\( (\cdot), (\cdot) \) exists for any \( x \) where \( x \) is not in \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \). Thus,

\[ (\cdot), (\cdot) \] does not exist for any \( x \) where \( x \) is not in \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \).

Prove that the domains of \( (\cdot), (\cdot) \) do not change.

Figure 4.
Figure 5

The diagram illustrates the intersections of three classes and their components.
Let the operator \( \mathcal{L} \) be defined as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L} \left( A \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{kk} \quad \text{for matrices } A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
\]

We can then apply the operator to the matrix elements as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L}(A)_{kk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ik} A_{ki}
\]

This defines the Laplacian operator on matrices.

The eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{L} \) are given by the solutions of the characteristic equation:

\[
\det \left( \mathcal{L} - \lambda I \right) = 0
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix.

The eigenvectors of \( \mathcal{L} \) are the vectors \( v \) that satisfy

\[
\mathcal{L}(v) = \lambda v
\]

for some scalar \( \lambda \).

By using the properties of the Laplacian operator, we can show that

\[
\mathcal{L}(uv^T) = u \mathcal{L}(v) + v \mathcal{L}(u)
\]

where \( u \) and \( v \) are column vectors.

This allows us to express the Laplacian in terms of outer products of column vectors.

Finally, we can use the properties of the Laplacian to derive important results in graph theory, such as

- The number of spanning trees in a graph
- The effective resistance between vertices
- The Cheeger constant of a graph

These and other applications of the Laplacian operator are fundamental in graph theory and have widespread applications in computer science, engineering, and other fields.
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\[ \mathcal{V} = \{ v \in V \mid \exists x \in X \text{ such that } x \cdot v < 1 \} \]

The set \( \mathcal{V} \) consists of all vectors \( v \) in \( V \) for which there exists at least one element \( x \) in \( X \) such that their scalar product is less than 1.

**Theorem:**

The set \( \mathcal{V} \) is non-empty and convex.

**Proof:**

1. **Non-emptiness:**
   - Consider the vector \( v_0 = 0 \) in \( V \).
   - For any \( x \in X \), we have \( x \cdot v_0 = 0 < 1 \).
   - Thus, \( v_0 \in \mathcal{V} \).

2. **Convexity:**
   - Let \( v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V} \) and \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \).
   - Then, for any \( x \in X \),
     \[ (\lambda v_1 + (1-\lambda)v_2) \cdot x = \lambda v_1 \cdot x + (1-\lambda)v_2 \cdot x \leq \lambda \cdot 1 + (1-\lambda) \cdot 1 = 1 \]
   - Hence, \( \lambda v_1 + (1-\lambda)v_2 \in \mathcal{V} \).

Therefore, \( \mathcal{V} \) is both non-empty and convex.

**Corollary:**

The set \( \mathcal{V} \) is bounded.

**Proof:**

- Since \( \mathcal{V} \) is non-empty and convex, it contains a ball of some radius \( R \).
- By the definition of \( \mathcal{V} \), for any \( v \in \mathcal{V} \), there exists at least one \( x \) such that \( x \cdot v < 1 \).
- This implies the existence of a ball centered at \( 0 \) with radius \( R \) that is entirely contained in \( \mathcal{V} \).

Thus, \( \mathcal{V} \) is bounded.
From the above and we have non-trivial constant \( \beta_0 \), we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

Taking into account that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

In order to prove that

\[
\left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

Let us estimate

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

which gives

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

is true for the inequality. Therefore we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

where

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]

depends only on the second index and

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\gamma_0}{2} - \gamma \right) \right]
\]
**Figure 8**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{domain} & \quad \text{(2)} \quad \text{domain} \\
\text{range} & \quad \text{(1)} \quad \text{range}
\end{align*}
\]

- **Domain (1)**
  - Domain of the function (2)
  - Domain of the function (1)

- **Range (2)**
  - Range of the function (2)
  - Range of the function (1)
similarly, for domain $G = \mathcal{Q}_{\mu} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{\phi} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{\nu}$, one should construct the matrix $T'$ with its majority graph containing the cycles and there should be no matrix $T'_{z}$ with its choice under rule (4) coinciding with the choice of $T'$ under rule (3).

Domain (5). Fig. 9 contains a tournament matrix $T'_{z}$.

The choice function, constructed on this matrix under the max-min rule acquires the following values:

\[
C_{m}(\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\}) = \{x_{2}\},
C_{m}(\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}\}) = \{x_{4}\},
C_{m}(\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{2}\}) = \{x_{2}\},
C_{m}(\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\}) = \{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\},
\]

The majority graph $T'_{z}$ has cycles and hence (from theorem 7) there is no graph dominating function which is equivalent to $C_{m}(\cdot)$. Now we are to prove the nonexistence of a tournament matrix $T'_{z}$ with its choice realized under the total score rule coinciding with function $C_{m}(\cdot)$.

Let us try to construct such a matrix $T' = || t'_{ij} ||$:

\[
C_{su,m}(\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\}) = \{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\} \Rightarrow
\]
\[
t'_{12} + t'_{13} = t'_{21} + t'_{31} \Rightarrow t'_{21} = t'_{13},
\]
\[
C_{su,m}(\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\}) = \{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\} \Rightarrow t'_{23} = t'_{34},
\]
\[
C_{su,m}(\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\}) = \{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\} \Rightarrow
\]
\[
t'_{12} + t'_{13} + t'_{14} = t'_{21} + t'_{31} + t'_{41} \Rightarrow
\]
\[
t'_{14} = \frac{t'_{12} + t'_{13}}{2},
\]

But $C_{su,m}(\{x_{1}, x_{2}\}) = \{x_{2}\} \Rightarrow t'_{12} > \frac{t'_{14}}{2}.$

This contradiction proves that the above function $C_{m}(\cdot)$ cannot be generated by the choice under rule (3) on any tournament matrix. Thus, domain in fig.9 is nonempty.
each of them a convex cover of the corresponding domain.

The set in the convex combinations from the vectors of 
for the domain (2) \subseteq (1) \subseteq (0)

and for a vector of the convex combination are defined by
for any vector \( v \).

deduce that the program is more preferred than \( \bar{v} \) for any edge

such that \( \chi \in \mathcal{E} \) of \( \chi \in \mathcal{H} \) is in the domain of the space

The matrix \( \chi \) represents, denote the

extension of the domain \( \chi \).

The matrix \( \chi \) is called the domain \( \chi \).

However, it is not enough to state that \( \chi \) is in the domain of the space

and that \( \chi \) is a convex combination of a set of vectors.

In this case, it is not just enough to have the centroid of \( \chi \) as in the case with the

extended convex combination in which a program under to the

set of vectors that are the extreme points of \( \chi \).

Figure 3 (1)

The matrix \( \chi \) is represented as the convex combination of the vertices of the

set of variables with the notion of the Pareto set \( \chi \).

In the paper (1964), the convexity properties of

the normal vector (19)
Follow that these choice monomotors are generated.

\[ \varphi = (\varphi_0) \cup (\varphi_0 + \varphi_2) \cap (\varphi_0 + \varphi_2) = 2 \kappa \]

The domain of the space \( \varphi \) is to be considered as the kernel domain of the choice \( \kappa \).

The domain of the space \( \varphi \) becomes equal to the kernel domain of the choice space \( \varphi_0 + \varphi_2 \) is the kernel domain of all choice covariants.

The covariance of \( (2) \cup (2) \).
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